UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,071	08/17/2006	Michel Cuenod	33588-US-PCT	1693
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 08/31/201	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			SALMON, KATHERINE D	
	ONE HEALTH PLAZA 101/2 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/586,071	CUENOD ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview cultimary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KATHERINE SALMON	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) KATHERINE SALMON.	(3)	
(2) <u>John Prince</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 August 2010</u>	Time: Noon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: NA		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Katherine Salmon/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Mr. Prince on 8/18/2010 to discuss the requirement for restriction mailed out on 4/06/2010. After a review of the requirement for restriction it was noted that the groupings of the claims separated method claims to the same type of expression (specifically protein expression was separated into two groups). Further, the elected group included both nucleic acid expression and protein expression, which were separated in other groups of the restriction. Another election was not able to be made timely and therefore a new requirement for restriction is set forth attached to the instant Interview Summary to allow the applicant time to consider the newly made restriction requirement.